Exhibit C

	[PROPOSED] JURY VERDICT FORM
<u>PUBL</u>	IC NUISANCE
1.	Do you find that SFUSD proved that Altria is liable for a public nuisance that currently exists on SFUSD school grounds?
	Yes No
	*** STOP ***
	If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, answer Question 2.
	If you answered "No" to Question 1, skip Questions 2 and 3 and proceed to Question 4.
•	Identify the school or schools within the SFUSD district where SFUSD proved that a public nuisance currently exists for which Altria is liable:
3.	For each school identified in Question 2, describe the condition(s) at that school that SFUSD proved is currently a public nuisance for which Altria is liable:
NEGI	LIGENCE
4.	Do you find that SFUSD proved that Altria is liable for Negligence?
	Yes No
RICO	
5.	Do you find that SFUSD proved that Altria is liable for violating section 1962(c) of
·	the RICO statute?
	Yes No
6.	Do you find that SFUSD proved that Altria is liable for violating section 1962(d) of
•	the RICO statute?
	Yes No

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 3817-3 Filed 02/24/23 Page 4 of 6

28

	\$
	*** STOP ***
	If you answered "Yes" to Question 6, answer Question 10. If you answered "No" to Question 6, skip Question 10 and proceed to Question 11.
	gyen mie ne za zasanen e, ang zasanen e ann processi e zasanen e
10.	Of the amount you found in Question 7, how much, if any, was due to a violation of section 1962(d) of the RICO statute for which Altria is liable?
	\$
MIT	IGATION OF DAMAGES
11.	Could SFUSD have avoided some or all of its harm with reasonable efforts or expenditures?
	Yes No
	*** STOP ***
	If you answered "Yes" to Question 11, answer Question 12.
belov	If you answered "No" to Question 11, skip Question 12 and proceed to the Instructions v.
12.	By what amount would SFUSD's damages have been reduced if it had taken reasonable efforts or expenditures?
	\$
	that CTOD that
	*** STOP *** If you answered "Yes" to Question 4, answer Question 13.
	If you answered "No" to Question 4, you are done. Skip Question 13 and sign and return
the V	erdict Form.
<u>PUN</u>	ITIVE DAMAGES
13.	Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that punitive damages are warranted against Altria:
	Ves No

	Case	3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 3817-3 Filed 02/24/23 Page 6 of 6		
1				
2		*** STOP ***		
3		If you answered "Yes" to Question 13, answer Question 14.		
4		If you answered "No" to Question 13, you are done. Skip Question 14 and sign and return the Verdict Form.		
5	return			
6	14.	What is the total amount of punitive damages, if any, that you find should be		
7	17.	assessed against Altria?		
8		\$		
9				
10		Jury Foreperson		
11		J I		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				